During comments to the original filing the sentiment from those that responded was 8 to 1 against. The ARRL know these numbers well. What does it say about the worthiness of a proposal when commented against at an 8-1 rate by the amateur radio community, many ARRL members by the way, then have the ARRL try to change the entire proposal "under the table" in back room meetings, we assume to make it more palatable to the commission?

You need to deny RM-11306 on the technical merits which were pointed out quite well in the original comment period. The commission also needs to roundly and publicly chastize the ARRL for it's attempt at "sneaking one by" the rest of the amateur radio community with this cheap and shabby last minute change.